UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,539	02/21/2007	Marko Hannikainen	800.0045.U1(US)	9841	
_,	7590 08/21/200 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER			
	DRIVE, Suite 202	DENNISON, JERRY B			
SHELTON, CI	00464-0212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2443		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,539	HANNIKAINEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
J Bret Dennison	2443		

	o Bret Berningen	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	= -	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		iected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27-49. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/J Bret Dennison/	
	Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 2443

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments of the independent claims to incorporate the subject matter of claim 32 results in several dependent claims that have not been considered with this subject matter and would therefore require a further search and/or consideration. With regards to Applicant's argument that the prior art fails to teach wherein functions are selected based on level of service or cost factors, Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The Applicant defines the claimed "functions" as functions that execute " for processing data in accordance with the configurable protocol engine". See claim 1.

The reference at [0094] recites, "QoS management features should be employed within every layer of the protocol stack and QoS control and management mechanisms should be in place on every architectural layer." This statement shows that the system, when factoring in the specified QoS parameters, clearly factors in QoS control and management mechanisms when reconfiguring the protocol in order to meet the QoS requirements. It is these mechanisms that are clearly executing for processing data in accordance with the configurable protocol engine since these mechanisms clearly make up the use of the protocol.

As such, the rejection is maintained.

2